ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M07848 Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received: 10/27/11 Project: Metro Self-Monitor, PO No. M07848

 Date Extracted:
 10/31/11
 Lab ID:
 110372-01 x10

 Date Analyzed:
 10/31/11
 Data File:
 110372-01 x10.042

Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1
Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 86 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 352
Nickel 307
Copper 243
Zinc <10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Date Received: Not Applicable

Date Extracted: 10/31/11 Date Analyzed: 10/31/11 Matrix: Water

Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

Alaskan Copper Works Client: Project: Metro Self-Monitor, PO No. M07848

Lab ID: I1-751 mb Data File: I1-751 mb.029 Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Lower Upper % Recovery: Limit: Limit: 94 60 125

ConcentrationAnalyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1 Lead <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/04/11 Date Received: 10/27/11

Project: Metro Self-Monitor, PO No. M07848, F&BI 110372

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 110366-01 (Matrix Spike)

| | | | | $\mathbf{Percent}$ | ${f Percent}$ | | |
|----------|------------|-------|--------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | Reporting | Spike | Sample | Recovery | Recovery | Acceptance | RPD |
| Analyte | Units | Level | Result | MS | MSD | Criteria | (Limit 20) |
| Chromium | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 12.2 | 94 b | 101 b | 67-132 | 7 b |
| Nickel | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 12.1 | 91 b | 99 b | 73-119 | 8 b |
| Copper | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 5.66 | 91 b | 98 b | 50-144 | 7 b |
| Zinc | ug/L (ppb) | 50 | 14.1 | 93 b | 99 b | 46-148 | 6 b |

 ${\bf Laboratory\ Code:\ Laboratory\ Control\ Sample}$

| | Percent | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|----------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Reporting | Spike | Recovery | Acceptance | | | | |
| Analyte | Units | Level | LCS | Criteria | | | | |
| Chromium | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 96 | 66-135 | | | | |
| Nickel | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 97 | 67-134 | | | | |
| Copper | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 98 | 66-134 | | | | |
| Zinc | ug/L (ppb) | 50 | 97 | 57-135 | | | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

| Man Phan Trops See More More More More More More More Mo | mark the laws | | SOLI 18th Avenue West Tony 1 | | | Mo 7848 (0) 1971/1 1215pm 4426 | Sample ID Lab ID Date Time Sample Type | SE477 71-403 | Additions 628 S HAMBORD ST PROJECT MA |
|--|---------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| HERS YCR CL MYZ | Nhan Phan | ` | 1 | | | 0 | TPM-Diesel TPM-Gasoline BTEX by SCE1B VOCs by SCE0 | | NAMERO. |
| | FeBI | 1 | | | | 7 | HFS CRCUMZ | Dispess that 30 days Dispess that 30 days Dispess that 30 days Dispess that 30 days Dispess that 30 days | 707888 Band charges conductioned by |

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 4, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on October 27, 2011 from the Metro Self-Monitor, PO No. M07848, F&BI 110372 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

A Call

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1104R.DOC